

**Concord Middle School**  
**Evaluation of Subcontractor Qualifications**

**AMENDED 2/9/2023**

**Date:** 1/19/2023

**Company Name:** CTA Construction

**Trade:** 00.00.00 -- General Contractor

Criteria	Points	Comments				
<b>1. Mandatory Requirements (0 points)</b>	(Y/N)					
Bonding Capacity (0 Points)	Y	No on any of these is grounds for disqualification. No further evaluation necessary.				
DCAM Certification (0 Points)	Y					
Current Update Statement (0 Points)	Y					
<b>2. Management Experience (50 points min 25)</b>						
Schedule A -- Business Owners ( 1 Point)	1	Clarified that Jeff, Pat, and Lyle remain owners with Paul as part of the LLC				
Schedule B -- Management Personnel (6 Points)	5	Clarified the proposed project team including a proposed APM and Asst. Super				
Schedule C -- Similar Project Experience (20 Points)	15	6 of 12 projects listed are outside of the 7 year requirement of the RFQ.				
Schedule D -- Terminations (3 Points)	2	Re: Dedham project: clarified that the Bonding Company determined wrongful termination and DCAMM removed the evaluation from their file.				
Schedule E -- Legal Proceedings (10 Points)	6	There are 6 listed in Schedule E and DCAMM update: Demand for direct payment (5), Temporary restraining order and injunction (1). 4 currently pending. Clarified the nature of the legal proceedings.				
Schedule F -- Safety Record (8 Points)	8	2019: .90, 2020: .90, 2021: .89/.90				
Schedule G -- MBE/WBE (2 Points)	2	No sanctions or penalties. Many of their letters are greater than 7 years old. Have included a couple of letters from current and former Hill staff.				
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>39</b>					
<b>3. References (30 points min 15)</b>						
<b>Schedule H -- Project References (24 Points)</b>	11	DO NOT INCLUDE ANY NAMES OF INDIVIDUALS PROVIDING REFERENCES ON THIS FORM.				
Name of Project & Schedule from which it was obtained						
Ref #1 Burlington DPW						
Ref #2 Mass DOT District 3						
Ref #3 Brookline HS Expansion Project		The project was a difficult renovation of an existing building. CTA performed well given the challenges of working				
Ref #4 Weymouth Tufts Library		Good to work with				
Ref #5 Dracut High School		Completed project, but painful.				
<b>Reference Questions</b>	Ref # 1	Ref # 2	Ref # 3	Ref # 4	Ref # 5	
Did you find the management personnel experienced and qualified?	5	2	5	4	1	
Did you find the on site personnel experienced and qualified?	4	3	4	4	2	
Were changes well documented, timely submitted, reasonably priced?	4	2	4	5	2	
Did this contractor adhere to the project schedules?	4	2	4	5	2	
Did this contractor provide the manpower as required?	5	3	5	4	1	
Did contractor work in harmony & coordinate with other contractors?	5	3	5	4	3	
Was this contractor's quality of work satisfactory?	4	3	4	4	4	
Did this contractor complete its punch list in a timely manner?	3	3	3	4	3	
Would you choose to work again with this contractor?	4	2	4	4	2	
Would you assign an overall rating of Preferred, Good, Adequate, or Negative?	Good	Adequate	Good	Preferred	Negative	
<b>Schedule I -- Credit References (3 Points)</b>	3	<b>Comments</b>				
Name & Firm & Date of Reference						
Ref #1 Bank Brookline Bank		Have had a relationship for about 4 years. Is a highly valued client of the bank				
Ref #2 Vendor Eastern Insurance		No letter provided.				
Ref #3 Supplier CAM HVAC		Have been doing business with CTA for over 15 years				
Ref #4 Supplier Capital Carpet		Long-standing customer in good standing. Pay their obligations as agreed and at this time do not have any issues or concerns about the company				
Ref #5 Supplier						
<b>Schedule J -- Public Project Record (3 Points)</b>	3					
Public projects listed		11 listed occurring between 2017 and 2022. All meet the "completed within the last three years" requirement				
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>17</b>					
<b>4. Capacity to Complete Projects (20 points min 10)</b>						
<b>Audited Financial Statements (10 Points)</b>	9	Both 2020 and 2021 audited financials were provided, strong report with no issues noted.				
<b>Schedule K-- Revenue under contract (10 Points)</b>	10	2022: \$101.4M, 2023: \$108.5M, 2024: \$24.2M				
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>19</b>					
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>75</b>					
<b>Comments:</b> Additional information was provided with respect to similar project experience and references, but the prequalification committee determined that the scores should remain unchanged.						