

I. Call to Order

• **Committee Members Present**

Kathleen Ogden Fasser, Bob Grom, Laurie Hunter, Zander Kessler, Mike Mastrullo, Mary McCabe, Brian Miller, Ravin Nanda, Mary Storrs, Kay Upham, Hannah Yelle

• **Committee Members Absent**

John Boynton, Barry Haley, Brian Kane, Brian Schlegel, John Flaherty, Susan Ludi Blevins

II. Public Comment

- None at this time

III. Reading of January 30, 2018 Minutes

- Bob Grom made a motion to move the January 30, 2018 Minutes; seconded
- No amendments
- The January 30 minutes were approved

IV. Communication and Correspondence

- Mary Storrs received a letter (today) proposing electric car charging stations for the school campus

V. Reports and Issues for Discussion

A. Feedback from February 13 Public Input Meetings

1. Carlisle. Mary Storrs summarized: These meetings were very well attended; Brian Kane and Mike Mastrullo also attended (along with Mary Storrs); Mary Storrs thought the meetings were very positive; several additional ideas proposed (and added to the list)

2. CCHS LC. Mary Storrs summarized: This meeting was more subdued; Mary thought the meeting went well and that the committee members present (John Boynton, Mary McCabe, Mary Storrs, and Bob Grom) were able to educate the public further re the committee's charge

B. Discuss options submitted versus rubric -

1. Mary Storrs introduced the task for the evening, i.e., discuss options/RFI's relative to the rubric; Mary Storrs decided NOT to parse out the RFI's individually as the committee did not receive many formal RFI's; she proposed that the committee might break into smaller groups and review individual RFI's, but the committee agreed that it would be more efficient/effective to look at the RFI's (and non RFI proposals) as a group;

2. Kathleen Ogden Fasser created a spreadsheet using what she saw on the Google Drive; Kathleen Ogden Fasser attempted to capture ALL of the ideas that had been proposed; and group accordingly; several ideas overlapped; some had no paperwork whatsoever; Kathleen thought there were a total of fifteen (15) "big" ideas;

Kathleen list the 15 ideas: parking, bus parking; track, skating rink (all), passive open space; biodiversity; gardens and greenhouses; ultimate frisbee; buildings generally; outdoor classroom; courts: basketball/paddle tennis/squash/tennis; solar canopy; amphitheater, pavilion, farm, water play

3. A discussion ensued re what is the best approach to review the RFI's.

4. Agreement was reached to first attempt applying the rubric to one of the proposals (with or without an RFI). Kathleen Ogden Fasser suggested we start with her list, the first item: parking.

5. **Parking.** A relatively organic conversation followed about parking. Kathleen had several questions about parking (note: there is no RFI to work with here). Laurie Hunter emphasized that there is no money for parking. A warrant article may be submitted to Town Meeting by the CCRSD for capital improvements to the paving, lighting, and roadway. Committee members discussed the possibility of putting parking across from the Beede Center along the edge of the retaining basin. Brian Miller proposed removing the curbs, storing the curbs, adding gravel (instead of pavement) in this area. Laurie Hunter also noted the possibility of adding parking where the construction folks had previously parked their equipment near the landfill.

Bob Grom asked if there was any short term solution for maybe 30-40 spots; Laurie Hunter: no, unless there is money. Discussion ensued re if there were 100 spots you would also collect some revenue. Mary Storrs asked if 100 spots would take care of the senior class and would that be enough to spill over into the junior class. Mike Mastrullo said 100 spots would alleviate the burden. Brian Miller: Students pay \$300. Juniors would pay the same. They have no spots right now. Laurie Hunter: This committee needs to understand that parking might impact a track, hockey rink, or other project on the landfill site. If the warrant article passes, the money will not be available until after Town Meeting. Parking impacts everything else the committee is discussing. Zander Kessler commented that there are multiple places on the campus where we might squeeze in another 10 or so spots (remove trees in the main student lot); Brian Miller for reference purposes indicated that the back row along the retaining basin has 73 spots; Ravin asked if we added spots across from the Beede Center would there be a need to widen the road. Zander Kessler commented that it seems like a waste to use up a large spot, i.e., the landfill for parking when perhaps we can squeeze in spots throughout the campus, as is. Laurie Hunter reminded everyone that there is no money currently in the budget for any changes/enhancement to CCHS campus parking.;

Bob Grom reminded the committee that the road across from the Beede Center would be resurfaced if the capital projects funding article is submitted to and passes Town Meeting; Kathleen Ogden Fasser noted that it seemed likely we could add 100 spots on the campus without precluding alternative proposals submitted to the committee

Mike Mastrullo emphasized that we need parking; wherever the parking goes; if you activate the landfill for any reason, you will need parking, so some parking must be included;

Mary Storrs noted that the Beede Center temporary parking seems to be not working; apparently there are students parking there who shouldn't be there; Hannah Yelle mentioned that the Beede Center sometimes leaves the gate open and students drive in; Mike Mastrullo noted that this temporary solution requires more management

Kathleen Ogden Fasser reminded the committee of some of the other ideas re metered parking; naming rights to the road;

Laurie Hunter acknowledged that the committee has a vested interest that parking not impede on the committee's ideas

Kay Upham mentioned that Walden Pond would never agree to offsite metered parking for the purpose of folks going to the pond; Walden Pond is trying to control the number of visitors;

Kathleen Ogden Fasser rephrased the meter discussion, i.e., if there is a road that goes to the school does it make sense to put meters along the road; Bob Grom agreed that was a question that the committee doesn't know if that would in any way be profitable and raised a concern about additional liability issues

Track. Mike Mastrullo asked if anyone knew if the track proposal RFI reference to cost estimate had come in since the track RFI was submitted. Cynthia Rainey (citizen): not in yet

Bus Parking. A conversation followed about the next item on the list, Bus Parking. A brief conversation followed. Some committee members thought this "ship had sailed"; others noted that there was no RFI; Laurie Hunter noted that she was meeting with William Plummer (who suggested the idea) the next day to discuss the bus parking; Kathleen Ogden Fasser noted that the information being incomplete the committee should move on

After attempting to apply the rubric to the parking issues, a discussion ensued that we use the rubric as a guide; that Brian Miller would open a document that listed both pros/cons of each idea; that later the committee would decide how to use the rubric

Track. Mike Mastrullo suggested we move on to the topic of the track. Brian Miller opened a document (pros/challenges);

Track. Mike Mastrullo commented: lots of good info provided re the track; Potentially private funding is attractive; the number of students served is in keeping with the committee's charge; Mike Mastrullo noted the issues relative to the track's location and the use by the community and surrounding schools (Fenn, Middlesex, Nashoba, the middle schools, Concord Academy, etc); he acknowledged that there might be an issue re pervious surfacing; Zander Kessler reminded the committee that the community has one track; not "we" have one track; Hannah asked whether CCHS

would still be sharing the track; Mike Mastrullo noted that the high school would have priority use during school hours/after school hours;

Track. Kathleen Ogden Fasser asked about items associated with the track; others noted that this was not a inexpensive proposal - less than an ice skating indoor facility/more than open space; Mary Storrs, using the rubric, noted the aesthetics as something positive (open views); following the guidelines of the rubric, the committee noted that under sustainability, mostly cutting a lawn; surface must be replaced every 10 years; additional parking might be associated with the track, but if 100 spots are found on the campus as is, then that should be plenty; Mary Storrs suggested 10 spots perhaps directly associated with the track for elderly community members

Bubble. Hannah asked about the possibility of a bubble over the track; Mary Storrs gained additional insight into the use of Bubble's at the Carlisle coffee; she was told that bubbles are quite expensive to maintain and possibly difficult to obtain insurance because of the risk of quick collapse; more information would need to be gathered

Skating Rink. Brian Miller added pros/challenges to his document based on comments presented by committee members. He did the same for the track. An organic conversation followed re the skating rink proposal. It was agreed that there are two skating proposals: hockey rink and skating (pond). Julie Ann Cancio (citizen) commented that the bubble/rink at Middlesex is converted to tennis courts in the summer. Nike Tennis Camp pays \$15,000 per month for that facility. The field house floors are designed to be changed for hockey/tennis; others commented that it is a very nice building; a multi-purpose building; generates money for the school; Cynthia Rainey commented/asked about the size of the skating community in Concord; Bob Grom thought the bigger issue relative to the campus was where would it be located and at what cost; Mary Storrs interpreted the proposal to mean that the facility would be somewhere other than the landfill; this would involve moving other fields to the landfill; committee members noted that the expense of ongoing maintenance, etc.; others noted that skaters/teams would not have to get up as early, etc; Cynthia Rainey had provided information earlier that shows that there are 43 boys and 25 girls playing CCHS hockey in 2016-17; others noted that the rink might be used for other purposes, e.g., curling team

C. Draft report to CCRSC.

- Did not discuss.

D. Next Steps

- Committee members agreed to look at the RFI's and create Pros/Challenge tables and send directly to Mary Storrs by EOD March 20. Mary will collate them and present a summary for the next meeting.

- Brian Miller created a table re who was looking at what RFI's, breaking up the work

VI. Old Business

VII. Adjournment

- Bob Grom moved to adjourn; Zander Kessler seconded at 6:34
 - All those present voted in favor
-

Upcoming Committee meetings (5:00 p.m. at CCHS Room 241 - Learning Commons)

- March 20, 2018
- April 10, 2018 [town meeting week]
- May 8, 2018